

Pretorsion Theories on Quasi-catégories

L. Grossman¹
lucy.grossman@uclouvain.be

¹Institut de recherche en mathématiques et physique
Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgique

109th Peripatetic Seminar on Sheaves and Logic,
November 17, 2024,
Leiden, Nederland

Table of Contents

- 1 Pretorsion theories
- 2 Pretorsion Theories on Quasi-categories
- 3 Future Work and References

Table of Contents

- 1 Pretorsion theories
- 2 Pretorsion Theories on Quasi-categories
- 3 Future Work and References

Our plan and similar work in the literature

In this talk, we will discuss a generalization of the notion of *pretorsion theory* to the context of infinity categories (here quasi-catégories). Many crucial ideas, including the following, have already been discussed in the literature.

- The concept of *pretorsion theory* as a generalization of Dickson's *torsion theories* (see [1]) has been developed extensively for 1-categories by Facchini, Finocchiaro, Gran, and others. See, for instance, [2] and [3].
- There is a notion of torsion theory for stable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories introduced by Fiorenza and Loregian in [4] using t-structures.

Fundamental Definitions - \mathbf{Z} -triviality

The ingredients for classical pretorsion theories:

Let \mathbf{C} be a category, $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ a subcategory, and $f : A \rightarrow B$ a morphism in \mathbf{C} .

Definition

f is \mathbf{Z} –trivial if it factors through an object $z \in \mathbf{Z}$. In otherwords, if we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ & \searrow a \quad \nearrow b & \\ & Z & \end{array},$$

i.e. $f \cong b \circ a$.

Fundamental Definitions - \mathbf{Z} -(co)kernels

Definition

([2], [3]) Let \mathbf{C} be a category, $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ a subcategory, and $f : A \rightarrow A'$ a morphism in \mathbf{C} . The morphism $\epsilon : X \rightarrow A$ is a \mathbf{Z} -kernel of f if the following properties hold:

- ① The composition $f\epsilon$ is a \mathbf{Z} -trivial morphism.
- ② Every time that $\lambda : Y \rightarrow A$ is a morphism in \mathbf{C} and the composition $f\lambda$ is \mathbf{Z} -trivial, there exists a unique morphism $\lambda' : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathbf{C} such that $\lambda = \epsilon\lambda'$.

Note:

- To obtain a \mathbf{Z} -cokernel, one dualizes the above definition.
- If \mathbf{Z} is \emptyset , one returns to the classical definition of (co)kernel.
- There is no guarantee that such (co)kernels exist in a given category.

Fundamental Definitions: Short \mathbf{Z} -exact sequence

Definition

[3], [2] A *short \mathbf{Z} -exact sequence*

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

in a category \mathbf{C} is a pair of morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ such that f is a \mathbf{Z} -kernel of g and g is a \mathbf{Z} -kernel of f

Pretorsion theories

Definition

(Definition 2.6 of [3]) Let \mathbf{C} be a category. A *pretorsion theory* (\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}) on \mathbf{C} consists of a pair of full replete subcategories \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{F} such that for $\mathbf{Z} := \mathbf{T} \cap \mathbf{F}$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- ① $\text{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(T, F) = \text{Triv}_{\mathbf{Z}}(T, F)$ for every object $T \in \mathbf{T}$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}$.
- ② For each object $B \in \mathbf{C}$ there exists a short \mathbf{Z} –exact sequence

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

with $A \in \mathbf{T}$ and $C \in \mathbf{F}$.

Remark

- If $\mathbf{Z} = \emptyset$, this structure is that of a *torsion theory* (see [1]).

Table of Contents

- 1 Pretorsion theories
- 2 Pretorsion Theories on Quasi-categories
- 3 Future Work and References

Version quasi-catégorique: Fundamental Definitions

Definition

Let \mathbf{C} be a quasi-catégorie and $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{C}$ a subcategory. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{C} is \mathbf{Z} –*trivial* if there exists, for at least one $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ two morphismes $a \in \mathbf{C}_{/z}$ with source A and $b \in \mathbf{C}_{z/}$ with target B such that $f \cong b \circ a$.

Verification

Under the taking of the homotopy category $h\mathbf{C}$ of \mathbf{C} , one recovers there the 1–categorical version of \mathbf{Z} –triviality.

Remark

One may also “go the other way” in a certain sense: with an additional assumption, one can show that $h\mathbf{Z}$ triviality on $h\mathbf{C}$ translates to that on \mathbf{C} .

(Proof idea)

Proof:

Starting in \mathbf{C} , we have that $f \simeq b \circ a$. To put it in a different way, there is at least one homotopy (2-morphism), \mathbb{N} , up to higher homotopy, which connects f and $b \circ a$. Under the taking of the homotopy category, then, f and $b \circ a$ are identified (the morphisms in $h\mathbf{C}$ are the homotopy classes of the morphisms in \mathbf{C}). In \mathbf{C} , a 1-category, one has thus that f is also $h\mathbf{Z}$ -trivial in the 1-categoricalal sense in that $f = b \circ a$ (since they are identified, and thus homotopic).

QCs: \mathbf{Z} -(co)kernels

Definition

Let \mathbf{C} be an $(\infty, 1)$ -category, $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ a subcategory, z and z' objects of \mathbf{Z} , and $g : A \rightarrow B$ a morphism in \mathbf{C} . The \mathbf{Z} -kernel of g is the pullback $(\infty, 1)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ker(g) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & B \\ \vdots & & \downarrow g \\ z & \dashrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

Dually, the \mathbf{Z} -conoyau of a morphism $f : B \rightarrow C$ dans \mathbf{C} is defined by a pushout diagram.

QCs: Short \mathbf{Z} -exact sequences

Definition

Let \mathbf{C} be a quasi-catégorie and $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ a subcategory thereof. A *short \mathbf{Z} -exact sequence*

$$A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} B \xrightarrow{\eta} C,$$

consists of two morphisms $\epsilon : A \rightarrow B$ and $\eta : B \rightarrow C$ such that ϵ is a \mathbf{Z} -kernel of η and η is a \mathbf{Z} -cokernel of ϵ .

QCs: Pretorsion Theories

Definition

A *pretorsion theory* on a quasi-catégorie \mathbf{C} consists of a triple $(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z})$ of full, replete subcategories of \mathbf{C} such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- ① $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(T, F) = \text{Triv}_{\mathbf{Z}}(T, F)$ for $T \in \mathbf{T}$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}$.
- ② For every object $B \in \mathbf{C}$ there exists a short \mathbf{Z} –exact sequence

$$T \xrightarrow{\epsilon} B \xrightarrow{\eta} F$$

with $T \in \mathbf{T}$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}$.

- Here we consider \mathbf{Z} as any full, replete subcategory of \mathbf{C} , a generalization also interesting in the 1–categorical case. To return to the classical case, take $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{T} \cap \mathbf{F}$.
- One may show that this structure passes to a 1–categorical pretorsion theory, as it were, on $h\mathbf{C}$.

QCs: Properties of Pretorsion Theories 1

Definition

(Generalization of Definition 4.1 of [2]) Let \mathbf{C} be a quasi-catégorie and $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ a full, nonempty subcategory thereof. A full replete subcategory $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ is *closed under extension* by \mathbf{Z} if, for every short \mathbf{Z} –exact sequence $S_1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow S_2$ in \mathbf{C} such that for $S_1, S_2 \in \mathbf{S}$ and X any object in \mathbf{C} , one has $X \in \mathbf{S}$.

Proposition

(Generalization of proposition 4.2 of [2].) Let $(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z})$ be a pretorsion theory on a quasi-catégorie \mathbf{C} such that $\mathbf{Z} := \mathbf{T} \cap \mathbf{F}$. Then, \mathbf{T} , \mathbf{F} , and \mathbf{Z} are all closed under extensions by \mathbf{Z} .

QCs: Properties of Pretorsion Theories 2

Proposition

(Generalization of [3] Propositions 2.1 et 2.2) Let \mathbf{C} be a quasi-catégorie and $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ a subcategory thereof.

- \mathbf{Z} —kernels of the same morphism are homotopic.
- \mathbf{Z} —cokernels of the same morphism are homotopic.

Proposition

Let \mathbf{C} be a quasi-catégorie and $(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Z})$ a pretorsion theory thereupon. Then \mathbf{F} is a reflective subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{T} is a coreflective subcategory of \mathbf{C} .

Examples

We consider here one family of examples, coming from a 1–categorical construction of pretorsion theories by Cafaggi in [6].

Example: Simplicial Groups

We consider the category of simplicial groups. A simplicial group can be viewed as a Kan complex (through a specific algorithm), or an infinity groupoid. Reinterpreting, then, we consider a family of examples of pretorsion theories on $\infty - \mathbf{Grpd}$, the $(\infty, 1)$ –category of ∞ –groupoids.

- **T** is the category of simplicial groups with associated Moore complex that is trivial above a certain degree n .
- **F** is the category of simplicial groups with associated Moore complex trivial below a certain degree m .
- If we take **Z** = **T** \cap **F**, then, (which is not actually necessary here), it contains simplicial groups that have non-trivial Moore complex only for a finite number of degrees (between n and m).

Table of Contents

- 1 Pretorsion theories
- 2 Pretorsion Theories on Quasi-categories
- 3 Future Work and References

Future Work and Generalizations

Generalizations

- ① Pretorsion theories for n -categories.
- ② *Pointed torsion theories*: Torsion theories, but such that every morphism between \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{F} factorizes through one particular object).
- ③ *Ideal pretorsion theories*: Pretorsion theories, but where each object has a morphism to an object $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ and from an object $z' \in \mathbf{Z}$, and it is not necessary that $z = z'$.

Future Work

- ① Concoct more examples of PTTS sur QCs.
- ② Construction of pointed torsion theories from pretorsion theories.
- ③ Explore these and other possible generalizations further.

References



Spencer E. Dickson.

A torsion theory for abelian categories.

Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 121:223–235, 1966.



Alberto Facchini and Carmelo Finocchiaro.

Pretorsion theories, stable category and preordered sets, 2019.



Alberto Facchini, Carmelo Finocchiaro, and Marino Gran.

Pretorsion theories in general categories.

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 225(2):106503, 2021.



D. Fiorenza and F. Loregian.

t-structures are normal torsion theories.

Applied Categorical Structures, 24(2):181–208, Apr 2016.



Lucy Grossman.

Théories de prétorsion sur les quasi-catégories. forthcoming.
2024.



Guillermo Andrés. Lopez Cafaggi.

Torsion theories in simplicial groups and homology.

Thesis, Université Catholique de Louvain, 2022.